



VIBE CODING

CHEATSHEET

AI-directed development: define intent, constrain scope, verify hard, ship clean.

Director mindset

Intent • Constraints • Proof

THE VIBE LOOP

- 1 Frame outcome**
Define user result and acceptance checks
- 2 Scope change**
Identify files, boundaries, non-goals
- 3 Generate**
Single pass, minimal output
- 4 Review diff**
Align with intent, reject drift
- 5 Verify**
Tests, UX, edge cases, then commit

PROMPT DNA

Goal	Outcome + user impact
Context	Key files, naming, constraints
Non-goals	What must not change
Checks	Tests, UI steps, edge cases

PROMPT TEMPLATE

```
Goal: <what the user should be able to do> Context: <files, constraints, stack> Non-goals: <what to avoid, scope limits> Checks: <tests, UI verification steps>
```

Ask for a plan first when risk is high.

QUALITY GATES

Diff review	Verify files, scope, and intent
Run it	Local test or manual UX pass
Edge checks	Hit a non-happy path
Stop if	Failing tests, unclear ownership, hidden scope

WHEN TO VIBE VS CODE

Great Fits	Switch to Classic
MVPs and demos	Security-heavy flows
UI/content iteration	Perf-critical logic
Internal tools	Ambiguous scope
Docs scaffolding	No tests or owners
Data cleanup scripts	Regulated systems
Refactors with tests	Large architecture

Higher blast radius = more design before generation.

CORE PRINCIPLES

Director mindset	You define outcomes and approve diffs
Small scopes	Short prompts, tight diffs, faster review
Verify by default	Tests + behavior checks beat vibes
State discipline	Commit often, log prompts, keep rollback

THE VIBE STACK

Explore	Editor + browser agent
Build	Repo-aware CLI agent
Verify	Tests, lint, runtime checks
Anchor	Real files, real constraints

PROMPT PATTERNS

Plan first	Outline approach before code
One feature	Keep scope tight per prompt
Diff-first	Ask for patches, not rewrites
Sample data	Realistic inputs = accurate output
Force checks	Require explicit success criteria

DRIFT SIGNALS

- Spec expands mid-prompt or adds new features
- Renames or rewires files you did not touch
- Introduces new dependencies without approval
- Deletes tests or bypasses verification

ANTI-PATTERNS

- Letting the model redefine goals
- Stacking changes before verification
- Accepting diffs without a local run
- Shipping without ownership or rollback

TEAM & SAFETY

Roles Owner sets scope • Builder runs loop • Reviewer verifies risk
Guardrails No secrets in prompts • Pin dependencies • Audit changes • Keep rollback

RELEASE CHECKLIST

Use every iteration

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Scope locked, acceptance defined | <input type="checkbox"/> Diff reviewed for drift |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tests run or UX verified | <input type="checkbox"/> Edge case probed |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Prompt log updated | <input type="checkbox"/> Rollback plan confirmed |